

ON THE WEAK OBSERVABILITY OF SMALL SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL-ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS WITH DELAYS¹

The paper considers the problem of observability of small solutions for hybrid time invariant differential-difference dynamic systems, i. e. linear stationary differential-algebraic systems with delays (DAD systems). Several types of observability of small solutions are defined and the corresponding parametric criteria are given. Spectral observability is considered and relation of the spectral observability to the observability of small solutions is discussed.

Introduction. The behaviour of a number of real physics processes consists of a combination of dynamic (differential) and algebraic (functional) dependencies. These processes are described by differential-algebraic (DAE) systems. In that sense these systems are hybrid systems. It should be noted that the term «hybrid systems» has been widely used in the literature in various senses [1].

The paper deals with the weak observability of small solutions of DAD systems; it is an extension of the work [2]. The small solution is a solution that goes to zero faster than any exponential function. Existence of such solutions for linear retarded systems was proved by Henry [3] and later by Kappel [4] for linear neutral type systems. Lunel [5] gave explicit characterization of the smallest possible time for which small solutions vanish. Observability of small solutions for the retarded time delay system case was first studied by Manitius [6] and for general neutral system by Salomon [7].

1. Preliminaries. Let us consider DAD system in the form

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = A_{11}x_1(t) + A_{12}x_2(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$x_2(t) = A_{21}x_1(t) + A_{22}x_2(t-h), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

with output

$$y(t) = B_1x_1(t) + B_2x_2(t), \quad (3)$$

Here $x_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $x_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $t \geq 0$; $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$, $A_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$, $A_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$, $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n_1}$, $B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n_2}$ are constant matrices h is a constant delay, $h > 0$. We regard an absolute continuous n_1 -vector functions $x_1(\cdot)$, and a piecewise continuous $x_2(\cdot)$ n_2 -vector functions as the solutions of systems (1)–(3), if they satisfy the equation (1) for almost all $t > 0$ and (2) for all $t \geq 0$. System (1)–(3) should be completed with initial conditions in the form

$$x_1(0+) = x_1(0) = x_{10}, \quad (4)$$

$$x_2(\tau) = \psi(\tau), \tau \in [-h, 0),$$

where $\psi \in PC([-h, 0), \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $PC([-h, 0), \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a set of piecewise continuous m -vector functions in $[-h, 0]$.

Let $E(g)$ denote the exponential type of $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, assuming g is an entire function of order 1. Then

$$E(g) = \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |g(s)|}{|s|}.$$

For $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$ the exponential type of g is defined by

$$E(g) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq q} E(g_j), \text{ where } g = [g_1 \dots g_q]^T.$$

Let $\Delta(p)$ be the characteristic matrix function

$$\Delta(p) = \begin{pmatrix} pI_{n_1} - A_{11} & -A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & I_{n_2} - A_{22}e^{-ph} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix function $\Delta(p)$ appears by applying the Laplace transform to system (1)–(3). Let $\det \Delta(p)$ be the determinant of $\Delta(p)$. It follows from the above that the exponential type of $\det \Delta(p)$ is less or equal n_2h . Define ε by

$$E(\det \Delta(p)) = n_2h - \varepsilon.$$

Let $\text{adj} \Delta(p)$ be the matrix function of cofactors of $\Delta(p)$. Since the cofactors C_{ij} are $(n_1 + n_2 - 1)(n_1 + n_2 - 1)$ subdeterminants of $\Delta(p)$, the exponential type of the cofactors is less or equal n_2h . Define σ by

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_1 + n_2} E(C_{ij}) = n_2h - \sigma.$$

We have [2].

Proposition 1. For $x_1(\cdot)$, $x_2(\cdot)$ being solutions of system (1)–(3) the following implications hold:

i) if $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad x_1(t)e^{kt} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\text{then } x_1(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq \varepsilon - \sigma; \quad (5)$$

ii) if $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad x_2(t)e^{kt} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\text{then } x_2(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq \varepsilon - \sigma. \quad (6)$$

Definition 1. We say that a solution $x_1(\cdot)$, $x_2(\cdot)$ is small, if there exists $T > 0$ such that $x_1(t) = 0$, $x_2(t) = 0$ for $t \geq T$. A small solution is trivial, if it is zero for $t > 0$.

¹ The work is written in the framework of the cooperation with Bialystok Technical University.

Definition 2. We say that system (1)–(3) has a nontrivial small solutions, if there exists a solution $x_1(\cdot)$, $x_2(\cdot)$ such that conditions (5), (6) hold and at least $x_1(\cdot)$ or $x_2(\cdot)$ is not trivial.

Definition 3. We say that system (1)–(3) has a nontrivial small solution with respect to x_1 , if there exists a solution $x_1(\cdot)$, $x_2(\cdot)$ such that condition (5) holds and $x_1(\cdot)$ is not trivial.

Definition 4. We say that system (1)–(3) has a nontrivial small solution with respect to x_2 , if there exists a solution $x_1(\cdot)$, $x_2(\cdot)$ such that condition (6) holds and $x_2(\cdot)$ is not trivial.

2. Observability.

2.1. Observability of small solutions.

Definition 5. We say that nontrivial small solutions of system (1)–(3) are observable, if every nontrivial small solution has nonzero output for some $t > 0$. This means that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ \exists T > 0 \quad x_2(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ y(t) = 0 \forall t > 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1(t) = 0, x_2(t) = 0, \\ \forall t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Nontrivial small solutions of system (1)–(3) are observable, if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$\text{i) } \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} - \lambda J_{n_1} & A_{12} & 0 \\ A_{21} & -I_{n_2} & A_{22} \\ 0 & A_{22} & 0 \\ B_1 & B_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = n_1 + n_2 + \text{rank} A_{22}, \quad (7)$$

$$\text{ii) } \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \\ (A_{22})^{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \\ (A_{22})^{n_2} \\ A_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to theorem 2 and it can be omitted.

2.2. Spectral observability.

Definition 6. System (1)–(3) is infinite-time observable, if for all initial data for which $y(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ there exists t_1 such that $x_1(t) = 0$ and $x_2(t) = 0$ for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$.

Definition 7. System (1)–(3) is finite-time observable at t_2 , if for all initial data, for which $y(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, $x_1(t) = 0$ and $x_2(t) = 0$ for $t \in [t_2, \infty)$.

Definition 8. System (1)–(3) is spectrally observable, if all its eigenvalues are observable. An eigenvalue λ is observable if the corresponding eigensolution of the form $x_1(t) = \exp(\lambda t)x_1(0)$,

$x_2(t) = \exp(\lambda t)x_2(0)$, $x_1(0) \neq 0$, $x_2(0) \neq 0$, obtains $y(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

We have [2].

Proposition 2. System (1)–(3) is spectrally observable if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{n_1} - A_{11} & -A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & I_{n_2} - A_{22}e^{-\lambda h} \\ B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} = n_1 + n_2, \quad (9)$$

for all complex λ .

Proposition 3. System (1)–(3) is spectrally observable if and only if system (1)–(3) is infinite-time observable.

Corollary 1. System (1)–(3) is spectrally observable if and only if system (1)–(3) is finite-time observable at $\varepsilon - \sigma$.

Proof. By proposition 1 and proposition 3.

3. Relative observability of small solutions.

Definition 9. Nontrivial small solutions with respect to x_2 of system (1)–(3) are weakly observable, if every nontrivial small solution with respect to x_2 has nonzero output for $t > 0$ and x_1 is a zero solution, i. e.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1(t) = 0 \forall t > 0 \\ \exists T > 0 \quad x_2(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ y(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x_2(t) = 0, \quad \forall t > 0$$

Theorem 2. Nontrivial small solutions with respect to x_2 of system (1)–(3) are observable if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \\ A_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. *The necessary condition.* We assume

that $x_1(t) \equiv 0$, $t > 0$, $\varphi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau \in (-h, 0), \\ \varphi_0, & \tau = -h. \end{cases}$ Then

equation (1) is satisfied for almost all $t > 0$ and weak observability with respect to x_2 of system (1)–(3) means that conditions $B_2 A_{22} \varphi_0 = 0, \dots, B_2 (A_{22})^k \varphi_0 = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ implies $A_{22} \varphi_0 = 0$ that by the Cayley – Hamilton theorem is equivalent to condition (10).

The sufficient condition. If condition (10) is satisfied then there exists a matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ such

that $A_{22} = D \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \end{bmatrix}$. For any initial

function $\varphi(\tau), \tau \in [-h, 0]$ for which $B_2(A_{22})^k \varphi(\tau) \equiv 0, \tau \in [-h, 0], k = 1, \dots, n_2$ condition $A_{22}\varphi(\tau) \equiv 0, \tau \in [-h, 0]$ is also satisfied that is equivalent to the weak observability of nontrivial small solutions of system (1)–(3) with respect to x_2 .

Definition 10. We say that $x_1(t), t > 0, x_2(t), t > 0$ is a strong solution of system (1)–(3), if equations (1)–(3) are satisfied for all $t, t \geq 0$ (the derivative in (1) we mean right-hand derivative at $t = 0$).

Theorem 3. Nontrivial strong small solutions with respect to x_2 for system (1)–(3), are observable if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A_{12}A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ A_{12}A_{22}^{n_2} \\ B_2A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ B_2A_{22}^{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A_{12}A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ A_{12}A_{22}^{n_2} \\ B_2A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ B_2A_{22}^{n_2} \\ A_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Definition 10 is equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} A_{12}x_1(t) = 0, t > 0 \\ x_2(t) = A_{22}x_2(t-h), t > 0 \\ y(t) = B_2x_2(t), t > 0 \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow x_2(t) = 0, t > 0 \Leftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} A_{12}(A_{22})^i \varphi(\tau) = 0 \\ B_2(A_{22})^j \varphi(\tau) = 0 \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow A_{22}\varphi(\tau) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \in [-h, 0), i = 1, \dots, n_2; j = 1, \dots, n_2 \Leftrightarrow \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{ \varphi^T(\tau) [(A_{22}^T)^i A_{12}^T, (A_{22}^T)^j B_2^T], \\ & i = 1, \dots, n_2; j = 1, \dots, n_2 \} \Rightarrow \varphi^T(\tau) A_{22}^T = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to (11).

Corollary 2. If nontrivial strong small solutions with respect to x_2 of system (1)–(3) are observable then nontrivial small solutions with respect to x_2 of the system are also observable.

Definition 9. Nontrivial small solutions with respect to x_1 of system (1)–(3) are weakly observable if every nontrivial small solution with respect to x_1 has nonzero output for $t > 0$ and for x_2 being zero solution, i. e.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_2(t) = 0 \forall t > 0 \\ \exists T > 0 \ x_1(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ y(t) = 0 \forall t > 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x_1(t) = 0, \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem 4. Nontrivial small solutions with respect to x_1 of system (1)–(3) are always observable.

Proof. Condition $x_2(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$ implies $\dot{x}_1(t) = A_{11}x_1(t)$, and the system has solutions of the form $x_1(t) = e^{A_{11}t}x_1(0)$, it proves that all small solutions with respect to x_1 are trivial.

Conclusion. In this paper we investigated the problem of relative weak observability of nontrivial small solutions of the hybrid differential-difference (HDR) systems. Weak observability of nontrivial small solution with respect to x_2 and x_1 are considered. Strong small solutions are defined and weak observability of nontrivial strong small solutions with respect to x_2 is established. Other types of observability and relations between these types of observability are discussed.

References

1. Марченко, В. М. Некоторые нерешенные задачи в теории управляемых динамических ГДР систем / В. М. Марченко // Труды БГТУ. Сер. VI, Физ.-мат. науки и информ. – 2006. – Вып. XIV. – С. 3–6.
2. Marchenko, V. M. Observability of small solutions of linear differential-algebraic systems with delays / V. M. Marchenko, Z. Zaczkiwicz // Control and Cybernetics. – 2006. – Vol. 35, № 4. – P. 997–1013.
3. Henry, D. Small Solutions of Linear Autonomous Functional Differential Equations / D. Henry // J. Differential Equations. – 1970. – Vol. 8. – P. 494–501.
4. Kappel, F. Laplace transform methods and linear autonomous functional differential equations / F. Kappel // Math. Institut, Univ. Graz, Bericht. – 1976. – № 64.
5. Verduyn Lunel, S. M. A Sharp Version of Henry's Theorem on Small Solutions / S. M. Verduyn Lunel // J. Differential Equations. – 1986. – Vol. 62, № 2. – P. 266–274.
6. Manitius, A. F-controlability and observability of linear retarded systems / A. Manitius // Appl. Math. Optim. – 1982. – Vol. 9. – P. 73–95.
7. Salamon, D. On controllability and observability of time delay systems / D. Salamon // IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. – 1984. – Vol. 29. – P. 432–439.